SUPERIOR C	OURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR	THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT NO. 57	HON. PAUL G. BRECKENRIDGE, JR., JUDGE
CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY	OF CALIFORNIA,
	Plaintiff,
vs.	No. C 420153
GERALD ARMSTRONG,	
	Defendant.
MARY SUE HUBBARD,	
	Intervenor. )
REPORTE	RS' TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
	Thursday, June 7, 1984
Pa	ges 4684 to 4721, incl.
APPEARANCES:	
(See Appe	arance Page.)
PARTINI	
COFT	NANCY L. HARRIS, CSR NO. 644 HERBERT CANNON, CSR NO. 1923 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	For the Plaintiff:	PETERSON & BRYNAN BY: JOHN G. PETERSON
4		8530 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 407
5		Beverly Hills, California 90211 (213) 659-3271
6		-and-
7		ROBERT N. HARRIS
8		The Oviatt Building
9		617 South Olive Street Suite 915
10		Los Angeles, California 90014 (213) 626-3271
11	Bor the Taterment	LITT & STORMER
12	For the Intervenor:	BY: BARRETT S. LITT
13		Paramount Plaza 3550 Wilshire Boulevard
14		Suite 1200 Los Angeles, California 90010
15		(213) 386-4303
		-and-
16		BARRETT S. LITT
17		BY: MICHAEL S. MAGNUSON The Oviatt Building
18		617 South Olive Street
19		Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90014
20		(213) 623-7511
21		
22	For the Defendant:	CONTOS & BUNCH BY: MICHAEL J. FLYNN
23		-and JULIA DRAGOJEVIC
24		5855 Topanga Canyon Boulevard Suite 400
25		Woodland Hills, California 91367 (213) 716-9400
26		
27		
28		

INDEX FOR VOLU	ME 27	Pages 4684	- 4721, inc
DAY	DATE		PAG
Thursday	June 7, 1984	A.M. P.M.	468 non
	EXHIBIT	S	
(All of the for identific	cation and described t	e been previous herein as 500-	ly marked series:)
			IN
DEFENDANT'S:			EVIDEN
YYY-1 -			4691
<b>JJ</b> JJ -			4692
KKKK -			4694
7A -			4685
7D -			4688
7E, 7F -			4689
7I, 7J -			4690
PLAINTIFF'S:			
1 -			4700
2, 3 -			470
4, 5, 6, 7 -			470
8, 9, 10 -			470
14, 15, 16 -			470
17, 18, 22, 23			470
24, 25, 26, 27	•		4700
29 -			470
30 -			4708
31, 32 -			4709
34 -			4710
40 - (Sealed.)			4713
41, 42 -			4712

INDEX	FOR	VOLUME	27	(Continued)
-	-			

EXHIBITS

PLAINTIFF'S:	EVIDENCE
43, 44, 45, 46, 47 -	4713
51, 52, 54 -	4714
55, 56, 57 -	4715
59 -	4717
(The following exhibits are not in the 500-series:)	
61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 69 -	4718
100 -	4719

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, JUNE 7, 1984; 9:07 A.M.

THE COURT: I guess we just finished the five series?

5 MR. LITT: Six, I believe, Your Honor.

MR. FLYNN: We are on 7A, I believe, Your Honor.

THE COURT: 7A is a dispatch from LRH, 3/4/67,

regarding -- so forth. Are you offering this, Counsel?

MR. FLYNN: I am, Your Honor.

Mr. Armstrong testified that there was

evidence -- you keep on your books the sale price of

St. Hill as a business. It shows the origins of the Sea

Organization, payment of Sea Organization personnel by

Mr. Hubbard, and items of that nature.

2/1

THE COURT: Any additional objection, Mr. Litt?

MR. LITT: I think -- can I take a look at it, Your

Honor?

I don't have any of these documents. Maybe I had better stand over here.

First Amendment, financial and religious privacy, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It will be overruled. It will be received.

Seven B's is a letter to Dear Mr. Wesikirch.

MR. FLYNN: Those relate to Mr. Purcell and Mr. Hubbard corresponding with regard to the fact that Alexis was Mr. Hubbard's daughter.

MR. LITT: Your Honor, I believe that is a letter to an attorney. Mrs. Hubbard testified that Mr. Wesikirch represented, I believe, both her and her husband in this period. I haven't read the letter, but I do know the name Weiskersch.

MR. FLYNN: There are a number of letters, Your Honor.
THE COURT: There are two letters.

Well, it does appear these may be of a nature -it is hard to tell. I'll sustain the objection. I'll order
it sealed, seven B's.

We have 7C's as re the PR Counsel, 29 October,
'81 from Monica to Durel.

MR. FLYNN: That is the document, Your Honor, that authorized Mr. Garrison in advance of the book publication to go around and do a video based on the document, go around the world. It is somewhere on the third or fourth page.

Both Mr. Garrison and Mr. Armstrong testified about that.

We are offering it to show the scope of discretion and authority possessed by Mr. Armstrong and by Mr. Garrison to use the documents in connection with the biography project.

MR. LITT: Is there is a particular page that you are referring to?

MR. FLYNN: I'd like to look through it. I remember looking through it before and seeing it with Mr. Armstrong.

In any event, the entire document shows that the motion activity was taking place, but it is here somewhere.

MR. LITT: I don't have anything additional, but it seems to me to be rather irrelevant. There is no testimony that anything occurred or was even authorized in this meeting.

MR. FLYNN: Laurel Sullivan testified that it was authorized.

THE COURT: There is nothing there, other than the testimony itself is available. I will sustain the objection. Clutter up the record.

7D is a letter on the letterhead of

L. Ron Hubbard personal office public relations, 17 November,

4f

1980 from Gerry to Helen O'Brien.

MR. FLYNN: For the record it was item No. 3 on one of those pages.

MR. LITT: I would object as to its relevance, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

7E is a letter apparently by Mr. Hubbard, a draft of a letter concerning inquiry by Parliament into Scientology and a lawsuit against the Daily Mail.

MR. FLYNN: There is some language in there with regard to attacking the media, attacking the press.

It is our view, Your Honor, that that type of language and intimidation by Mr. Hubbard permeated the entire organization, is what made Mr. Armstrong feel the way he did throughout the period he was involved.

28

MR. LITT: I don't have anything other than what has 1 already been raised. 2 THE COURT: Well, I'll receive it in evidence. If 3 nothing else, it is evidence of his handwriting. 4 Seven F's is a draft of a wire to the editor of 5 6 the Daily News regarding Formosa. What is the theory of that, counsel? 7 MR. FLYNN: I think it is the same, Your Honor. I have 8 to take a look at it. 9 THE COURT: It says, "Tell the world that we can have 10 it if we want it, the United States." 11 MR. FLYNN: It is Tom Esterbrook. He is writing under 12 13 someone else's name. MR. LITT: I thought we had established that that was 14 a pen name, Your Honor. 15 16 THE COURT: Well, for whatever it is worth, I'll receive it. 17 18 H is to whom it may concern on the personal 19 office of L. Ron Hubbard, LRH personal secretary by 20 Mrs. Pat Brice. 21 MR. FLYNN: That is in evidence already as exhibit BB 22 which is not under seal. That is the authorization from L. Ron Hubbard's personal secretary. 23 24 THE COURT: If it is already in evidence, there is no 25 reason to receive it. 26 MR. FLYNN: We won't offer it.

MR. FLYNN: That relates to the claim that he was a

THE COURT: Seven I's.

Provost Marshall of Korea. That claim is made in that data 1 2 sheet. , MR. LITT: I don't have anything to add on seven I's, 3 Your Honor. THE COURT: It will be received. 5 Seven J's. 6 MR. FLYNN: That relates to transfers of monies, Your 7 Honor. It should be in Mr. Hubbard's handwriting and it 8 9 refers to HEC and I believe OTS. 10 I believe it relates to the transfers of monies between the Church of Scientology and HEC, showing Mr. Hubbard 11 12 to be receiving funds. 13 MR. LITT: First Amendment, financial, and religious 14 privacy. 15 THE COURT: Overruled. It will be received. 16 Okay. Apparently that is the end of the 17 defendant's. Happy days. 18 Now we'll go over the plaintiff's. 19 MR. FLYNN: We have that one exhibit that we passed. 20 I culled it. 21 THE COURT: That is a dirty word. 22 MR. FLYNN: I pulled out a few exhibits that relate 23 to a little chronology and financial condition which relate 24 to a number of claims by Mr. Hubbard. 25 26 27

THE COURT: Okay, we can submark this group as 1 triple Y-1 collectively. 2 3 MR. LITT: Well, I don't think, other than the general 4 things that have already been raised about the Naval and 5 VA records generally - -THE COURT: All right. I will receive it in evidence. 7 MR. LITT: That was triple Y sub --8 THE COURT: 1, and the other will just remain as an 9 exhibit for identification. 10 You also said something about a declaration of Mr. Armstrong you wanted to mark as an exhibit? 11 12 MR. FLYNN: Right, Your Honor. It is the one I gave 13 to the court with regard to the MCCS tapes. 14 THE OCURT: All right. 15 MR. FLYNN: And I'd also like to mark, Your Honor, 16 the declaration of Andrew Lenarcic. 17 THE COURT: Well let's see if we have these 18 declarations. 19 MR. FLYNN: I have a copy of Lenarcic's with me. 20 The original is in the court file. 21 THE CLERK: Is it attached to something? 22 MR. FLYNN: It was attached to the original complaint 23 to get the restraining order. 24 THE COURT: I have a supplemental affidavit. 25 MR. FLYNN: That's it. 26 THE COURT: Of Mr. Armstrong. 27 MR. FLYNN: That's it.

MR. LITT: Your Honor, if it is the declaration or

/1

affidavit, what I am thinking of, what it does is it purports to transcribe a portion of the MCCS tape, the privilege on which has been upheld, and since the privilege has been upheld, one, I see no relevance in marking it and two, it is improper because it violates the privilege.

MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, depending on what happens

MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, depending on what happens to the case, it has been --

THE COURT: Well I will mark it as an exhibit for identification. Since it purports to be something which I have concluded is at least provisionally privileged, I will order it sealed so we can mark that defendants 4J, and we will seal it along with any other exhibits that have been received for identification and put under seal.

MR. FLYNN: With regard to the sealing order, Your Honor, that exhibit has been filed in several cases where it is not under seal.

MR. LITT: Well, there are motions in those cases with respect to it, Your Honor. I think in this case it is properly sealed.

The other courts will have to treat it as they deem fit when they reach the issues.

THE COURT: I think that is probably accurate.

It is available. It is part of the file.

Okay, now, we have some plaintiff's exhibits.

MR. FLYNN: And I have that Lenarcic declaration,

THE COURT: The who?

MR. FLYNN: I'd like to offer that in evidence.

6f

That was attached to the original complaint to get the restraining order and the preliminary injunction and, of course, as Your Honor can see from reading it, the position taken at trial is virtually 180 --

THE COURT: Didn't you ask me to take judicial notice of that at one time and didn't I do so? I have forgotten.

MR. FLYNN: It was left up in the air. It was never resolved.

THE COURT: Okay. We can mark this next in order and since it is already a part of the court file which the court can take judicial notice of, not as evidence of the truth of what is therein asserted, just that this was an assertion by Mr. Lenarcic at that time.

MR. FLYNN: That will be in evidence, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes. It will be received in evidence, four

K's.

aware of that you have any objection to, Mr. Flynn?

MR. FLYNN: Our general view, Your Honor, is what Mr. Litt is doing is introducing all of these letters of Mary Sue Hubbard and L. Ron Hubbard.

MR. LITT: I haven't introduced anything yet. I only have them marked. I'm going to offer many of them, but let me tell you how I would like to do it.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. LITT: Any spousal letters, I do not wish to enter.

I wish a ruling that they are spousal communications and are privileged because I want a record of that. And I don't intend to introduce those documents.

## I do intend --

THE COURT: We have a problem with her letters. She was, obviously a spouse, but she was also a Guardian Controller of this Church. And he was the founder. And there is a lot of other evidence that he was directing the Church through her or some of the activities of the Church through her.

So it seems to me that communications between them that are in that general capacity, certainly, aren't to be treated as privileged communications.

MR. LITT: I think unless, Your Honor, it can be demonstrated that these were formal organizational

5-2

communications, if a husband and wife have communication about, say, business matters which are not transmitted through the business, but are done between the two of them, they are confidential even though they discuss, for example, business matters.

The letters clearly are not addressed to her post; nor are they signed in the way that normal organizational letters are signed. They are private correspondence that may discuss Church matters and may give his opinion on Church matters.

THE COURT: The problem that I have is that if you are going to contend that on the one hand these are private and personal and can't be read by anybody or considered by them, but on the other hand you are going to contend that Mr. Armstrong was wrong in taking this without giving an explanation for whatever purposes that he took them, then I think that is rather -- you can't have it both ways.

It seems to me that if he took these, he is not a lawyer; he was not a lawyer and has a right to explain or demonstrate why he took any particular letter. If you want it sealed and not disclosed, then I am not going to consider it. I can't consider it as a basis for any cause of action here.

MR. LITT: I'm not asking as such that the Court consider the contents.

It is our position, among other things, that certain types of things, even in the context of this defense, simply should not be permissible. We'll argue that in

argument.

The Court may not agree with that. And that is fine. I understand that if the Court doesn't agree with that.

On the other hand, they are, in fact, I believe, privileged. And so all we are asking for at this point is a determination reflecting that. And we don't intend to introduce into evidence --

THE COURT: If you take the position it is privileged, the Court is going to deem that you are making no claim for any damages based on Mr. Armstrong taking the particular letters because there is no way for me to evaluate his claims without having the contents in evidence.

MR. LITT: I understand that is the Court's position. We disagree with it, of course, and would like not now, but in the context of argument, to, at least, have the opportunity to argue that further.

But if that is how the Court rules, that is —
THE COURT: That will have to be my position so that
if you want these to remain, for example, as a privileged
communication and confidential and sealed, I'm willing to
do that. But I am also going to deem that, assuming that
the Court concludes he may have a privilege to take some of
these matters, that there is a reasonable cause to believe
that there is something in these letters which warrant his
taking them.

So that will be the way I'm going to call

28 it.

that --

500-1, do you want to offer that, or do you want

MR. LITT: May I make a couple of preliminary inquiries before I decide, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. LITT: One, if these are offered will the Court place these under seal, or are they being offered in a public record?

THE COURT: If it is going to be received into evidence, it will be a matter of public record.

On the other hand, if it is something that would only be for identification and I conclude it is confidential, I'll seal it, order it to remain sealed.

MR. LITT: My second inquiry would be, is the Court going to find any waiver of any other spousal privilege claims by -- if we determine to introduce these?

THE COURT: No, no. The Court will take the same position as to any exhibits to which you contend is confidential and can't be divulged and you don't want to put it in evidence. If I agree with you that it is confidential, at least it would be subject to a privilege; I'll order it sealed and remain as an exhibit for identification. But I am not going to consider it in substance as a basis for any claimed damages unless I change my mind and conclude that there is no defense based upon privilege.

MR. LITT: Then I think the way I would like to do it, Your Honor, is I would basically like a determination so that, at least the record is clear that it is privileged

based on the Court's ruling and statement that it could not consider the contents unless -- cannot consider whether Mr. Armstrong was entitled to take them unless we move them into evidence. We would move them into evidence on that basis, but we would like a determination that it is privileged.

And we would move it into evidence based on the Court's determination as to how it would treat the privileged matter; is that agreeable with the Court?

THE COURT: Yes.

Let's go forward.

MR. LITT: Can I just take a quick look at it?

MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, as I understand it, they are not going to be in evidence?

THE COURT: Well I guess we will take one step at a time.

I think this letter, the 500-1 probably would in general fall within the privilege as a confidential communication. It does not appear to me to be a letter which was in the chain of command.

On the other hand, I can see why Mr. Armstrong took it from some of the language in here consistent with some of the other testimony he has given toward other exhibits.

Now, if Mr. Litt wants to offer this in evidence, it will be received in evidence and will remain as any other exhibit in evidence.

If, on the other hand, if he wants it simply to be left as an exhibit for identification, then I will so leave it and it will be ordered sealed based upon the conclusion that it was a confidential communication.

MR. FLYNN: We only add, Your Honor, that there is extensive evidence that Mrs. Hubbard knew and even acknowledged that personal letters were in the possession of Mr. Armstrong in January, 1980. In fact, it is even in some of the initial documents.

THE COURT: Well, I think it goes to the weight.

I don't think there is any waiver.

THE COURT: Surely.

MR. LITT: Your Honor, so I don't repeat it, any requests for exhibits that we move in would be that they

be sealed.

THE COURT: All right. Well that request will be denied as a general proposition.

MR. FLYNN: There is one further consideration, Your Honor. If Mr. Litt picks and chooses one or two of these letters which are the more innocuous type in a six-inch pile of which 95 percent of the others relate to almost all financial entanglements of Mr. Hubbard and the organization and because he ran the organization as if it was his, the informality of the communication between him and the second in command would be evidence of the way he ran it, and if he picks and chooses several innocuous ones while the great bulk of them are of a totally different character, it would be highly misleading in the record.

THE COURT: Well, we will do one thing at a time.

MR. LITT: Well, we will introduce it, Your Honor.

I want to make clear, I guess it is, but just in case it is not that we are only moving documents in because the court has adopted this defense and permitted documents to be moved in.

THE COURT: Very well. That is understood.

I will receive 500-1.

500-2, I suppose goes along with 500-3 to do with the tentative constitution of the nation of Rhodesia, and the letter to Mr. Hubbard from Mr. Thomspon, principal

private secretary to the prime minister of Rhodesia. 1 Are you offering this into evidence, 2 Mr. Litt? 3 MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Flynn? MR. FLYNN: No, no objection to that exhibit, Your 6 Honor. 7 THE COURT: All right, receive 500-2 and 500-3. 8 500-4, another letter to Susie from Mr. Hubbard 9 10 from Tangiers, at least it is on the letterhead of the hotel in Tangiers. 11 MR. LITT: We would like a spousal privilege ruling 12 on this, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: I would think -- I'd find this would be 14 15 a privileged communication. 16 MR. LITT: And based on the previous discussion and 17 the court's ruling in that regard, we will move it in. 18 THE COURT: Any objection? 19 MR. FLYNN: Well the same objection, Your Honor, to 20 any spousal communication, Your Honor. Your Honor excluded 21 spousal communication that we had offered which is more 22 reflective, we think, of the six inches of letters down 23 there to allow - -24 25

26

27

3-1 THE COURT: As I have indicated, if they objected to 1 it, they can't claim any element of damages based upon it 2 as far as I am concerned. 3 I'll receive 4 in evidence. 4 They want it in evidence; it will be just another 5 exhibit. 6 Exhibit 500-5 is another one of 8 January, '67. 7 This, again, appears to be a privileged communication. 8 MR. LITT: We would move it in based on the same 9 understanding previously discussed. 10 MR. FLYNN: We would just make the same objection. 11 THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. 12 13 I'll receive it. 6 is another letter. This would also appear to 14 15 be a privileged communication. 16 MR. LITT: Move it in on the same understanding. 17 MR. FLYNN: Same objection, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. It will be received. 19 7. All right. This would appear to be a 20 privileged communication. 21 MR. LITT: Move it in on the same understanding. 22 THE COURT: I'll deem the same objection raised; 23 overruled. 24 It will be received. 25 500-8, Rosie has a note here, "has no page 26 number." 27 MR. LITT: Page 5 is missing, Your Honor. It looks

like it is just misnumbered.

Yes, Your Honor. MR. LITT: 1 Any objection? THE COURT: 2 Relevancy, Your Honor. MR. FLYNN: 3 Oh, I will receive it. THE COURT: 4 And we are moving 15, Your Honor. MR. LITT: 5 No objection, Your Honor. MR. FLYNN: 6 THE COURT: All right, will be received. 7 8 MR. LITT: We are moving 16. MR. FLYNN: There, Your Honor, same type of objection 9 that we had to -- we attempted to move into evidence 10 some correspondence between Mr. Hubbard and his earlier 11 wives. 12 13 MR. LITT: This is not - -MR. FLYNN: I understand that. 14 MR. LITT: Wait, I am sorry. Let me just - - yes, 15 16 on this, Your Honor, we would also look for a privilege determination and then we'd move it in. 17 MR. FLYNN: This was the mother; is that correct? 18 19 MR. LITT: Oh, this is the mother. No, this is not 20 a privilege. I am sorry. 21 THE COURT: I don't know what - - maybe Mr. Harris' 22 client who would have presumably an interest in this --23 MR. LITT: Your Honor, I am just moving in for both 24 of us, but that would be just introduced in terms of 25 distributing private information. That wouldn't come within 26 the ambit of this defense, to the extent that it is applicable 27 would be the argument.

THE COURT: All right, I will receive 16.

```
17 is to "Dearest Ron, Dearest Polly" signed
1
2
     "Mom." Are you offering that, Counsel?
3
            MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor, but - - yes, no but.
            THE COURT: Any objection?
5
            MR. FLYNN: No, no objection.
6
            THE COURT: Be received.
7
                   500-18 is too, I guess, from Mr. Hubbard's
8
     father to Ron Hubbard, USS Black Hawk. Are you offering
9
     this?
            MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.
10
11
            THE COURT: Any objection?
12
            MR. FLYNN: No objection.
13
            THE COURT: All right, be received.
14
                   22 is a letter from LRH to his mother April 14,
15
     155.
16
            MR. LITT: We will offer that, Your Honor.
17
            THE COURT: Any objection?
18
            MR. FLYNN: No objection.
19
            THE COURT: Be received.
20
                   23 is a letter to Ron and Sue from Dad,
21
     Port Orchard, Washington, '57. Are you offering this,
22
     Counsel?
23
            MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.
24
            THE COURT: Any objection?
25
            MR. FLYNN: No objection.
26
            THE COURT: All right, be received.
27
                   24 is a letter to Ron from Dad, September 13, '58.
28
                   Are you offering this?
```

```
MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.
1
            THE COURT: Any objection?
2
            MR. FLYNN: No objection.
3
            THE COURT: Be received.
4
                   Exhibit 25 is a letter to Ron from Mom,
5
     September 15, '58. Are you offering this?
6
            MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.
7
            MR. FLYNN: No objection.
            THE OCURT: All right be received.
9
                   26 is a letter from the U.S. Naval Hospital,
10
     Bremmerton from Dad to Ron and Sue. Are you offering this?
11
            MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.
12
            THE COURT: Any objection?
13
            MR. FLYNN: No objection.
14
            THE COURT: All right, be received.
15
                   27 is a letter to Ron and Sue from Dad,
16
17
     January 12, 1956. Are you offering this?
18
            MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.
19
            THE COURT: Any objection?
20
            MR. FLYNN: No objection.
21
            THE COURT: Be received.
22
                   29 is a letter from the Knickerbocker Hotel - -
23
            MR. FLYNN: 28 we skipped over?
24
            THE COURT: I guess we didn't mark it.
25
            MR. LITT: We didn't mark 28.
26
            THE COURT: 29.
27
                   I am not sure who this is from or who it is to.
            MR. LITT: I believe the testimony was it is a letter
28
```

from Mr. Hubbard to his first wife, Louise Grubb Hubbard. Yes, that was the testimony. We would ask for a privilege finding on this, Your Honor, and we would move it on the same understanding. MR. FLYNN: We'd make the same objection as before, Your Honor. 10f 7 

THE COURT: Well, it is something that is between 1 Mr. Hubbard and --2 Who was he married to in 1938? 3 MR. LITT: Louise Grubb Hubbard. MR. FLYNN: Who was called "Polly." 5 THE COURT: It says "My dearest one." 6 MR. LITT: She was also called "Skipper" by 7 Mr. Hubbard. 8 MR. FLYNN: We would be willing to stipulate. I think 9 I am a little familiar with the letter. 10 MR. LITT: Mr. Armstrong testified to that, Your Honor. 11 MR. FLYNN: We would stipulate that it is between 12 Mr. Hubbard and his first wife; however, our view is, again, 13 the overall character of the letters better those two, 14 for example, we intend to introduce the other --15 THE COURT: I have concluded that there was a privilege 16 as between Mr. Hubbard who is not here to claim it and his 17 first wife who is not here to claim it. 18 19 MR. FLYNN: Who is deceased. THE COURT: I don't know that she is deceased. I'll 20 accept your representation. 21 MR. LITT: As far as I know, that is accurate. 22 THE COURT: And I gues the Church is purportedly 23 asserting it. Whatever, I'll receive it. 24 30 is a letter to Skipper from the Knickerbocker 25 Hotel from Ron, 12-8-39. 26 MR. FLYNN: The same category; same objection, Your 27

Honor.

MR. LITT: We would ask for the same privileged determination and move it in.

THE COURT: It would be privileged if somebody was here to claim it.

Well, I'll receive it. 30 will be received into evidence.

MR. LITT: Has the Court found that it is privileged?

THE COURT: It is privileged, but I am not sure anybody
here has a legitimate right to assert it. I'm not sure that
the Church has any right to vicariously assert this unless
they want to stipulate that they are the alter ego of
Mr. Hubbard.

MR. LITT: There is no such stipulation, Your Honor.

One, there is a question of whether Mrs. Hubbard could do it. But even aside from that, I think the issue can be raised spontaneously since there is a privilege involved unless there is a showing of waiver.

THE COURT: Well, whatever, I'll receive it. I won't take the time to research the law.

31 is another one.

MR. LITT: We would, again, ask for a privileged determination and move it in, Your Honor.

MR. FLYNN: Same objection, Your Honor. We think there has been a waiver. We don't think any privilege applies.

We think it has got to be asserted by Mr. Hubbard.

THE COURT: Well, are you objecting to its introduction? I'm not sure whether you are objecting to his contentions or objecting to the introduction of the

MR. FLYNN: I am objecting to his contentions with 1 regard to all the findings of privilege and then, therefore, 2 the introduction. Because the condition we are in, there 3 has been a judicial finding that they are privileged and then 4 an introduction into evidence to prove that Mr. Armstrong 5 has violated the privilege. So we are in kind of a Catch-22 6 situation. 7 We think all of these documents were voluntarily 8 given to Mr. Armstrong who voluntarily gave them to 9 Mr. Garrison with Mr. Hubbard's permission. 10 Mr. Hubbard has not come forward to say anything 11 different than the evidence that is in the record. 12 THE COURT: I think that is probably a valid point as 13 to these letters from Mr. Hubbard to Skipper. So they will 14 be received. 15 32 is the same category. 16 Are you offering that, counsel? 17 MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Same ruling. It will be received. 19 March 29, '40, "Red head" to "Sweetheart." 20 I assume that is the same category? 21 MR. FLYNN: That is, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Are you offering it? 23 MR. LITT: Yes. 24 25 THE COURT: All right. It will be received. 26 33, are you offering that in evidence? 27

MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.

34 is to Skipper from Red Head. Same situation? 1 MR. FLYNN: Yes, Your Honor. 2 MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor. 3 TRE COURT: Are you offering it in evidence? MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: All right, same ruling. Be received. 6 40 is a letter to Red Head from Skipper. 7 8 MR. LITT: I think - - I thought we were at - -Your Honor, do you have 37 there that is marked? THE COURT: The last we had was 34. 10 MR. LITT: And then I think you moved to 40, but my 11 12 notes show that the next one should be 37. THE CLERK: No, 37 wasn't even in the folder. 13 MR. LITT: Okay. All right, then, I guess my notes 14 15 are wrong. THE COURT: Well, this letter concerns a lot of 16 17 personal information about this former wife, deceased, and 18 I don't see any reason for that to go into the public domain. 19 Are you offering this exhibit 40? 20 MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor. 21 As with everything, we do ask that all of 22 these things be sealed, of course. 23 THE COURT: Well she is not a party in this action. 24 She didn't do anything to invite it. Of course, she is 25 deceased, but she might have some heirs and so forth that 26 would be upset with this. 27 I will receive it, but I will order it sealed,

not to be opened except under further order of the court.

```
41, is another letter from her. Are you
1
     offering this in evidence?
2
            MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.
3
            THE COURT: There was a privilege and it was weighed.
4
     All right, I will receive it.
5
                   Exhibit: 42, another -- well, same category.
6
     Are you offering this?
7
            MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.
8
            THE COURT: All right, I will receive it, 42.
9
                   43 is a letter from Skipper. It is typed,
10
     to Mr. Hubbard.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
```

f

```
THE COURT: Are you offering this in evidence?
1
            MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.
2
            THE COURT: All right. It will be received.
3
              44 is a letter to Mr. Hubbard from his wife at
4
      that time.
5
            MR. FLYNN: Same objection, Your Honor, to all of
6
      these.
7
            THE COURT: All right. Are you offering that,
8
      counsel?
9
            MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.
10
            THE COURT: It will be received.
11
                  45; are you offering that?
12
          MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.
13
            THE COURT: The same objection will be deemed entered;
14
      overruled.
15
                  It will be received.
16
                  46, another letter; are you offering that?
17
           MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.
18
            THE COURT: The same objection deemed entered; same
19
      ruling.
20
                  It will be received.
21
                  500-47, the same category; are you offering that,
22
      counsel?
23
            MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.
24
25
            THE COURT: The same objection deemed raised; overruled;
      received.
26
                  Is that about all the plaintiff's exhibits?
27
           MR. LITT: We are close, Your Honor.
28
```

THE COURT: 51 is miscellaneous things, funeral oration 1 2 for Peggy Conway. MR. FLYNN: No objection, Your Honor. It has to do 3 with some people that are deceased, apparently. THE COURT: Are you offering that, counsel? MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: It will be received. 7 52 says "LRH handwritten dispatches." 8 Are you offering this, counsel? 9 MR. LITT: Can I take a look at that, Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MR. LITT: We'll offer it, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Flynn? 13 MR. FLYNN: No, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: It will be received. 16 54 is a share certificate, 9 shares, in the name of L. Ron Hubbard; are you offering this, counsel? 17 18 MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Any objection? MR. FLYNN: No, Your Honor. 20 21 THE COURT: Very well. It will be received. 22 55 is a little envelope; apparently it has a 23 receipt for 330 pounds, 10 percent deposit on the Ketch 24 "Isabel" 30 March, '61. 25 Are you offering this in evidence? 26 MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor. 27 THE COURT: Any objection? 28 MR. FLYNN: No objection.

THE COURT: It will be received.

56 is to whom it may concern, a draft of a letter. "This will certify that Charles Shepperdson was employed Saint Hill from '63 to '64. Should he desire references, we would be pleased to give one."

Are you offering this, counsel?

MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. FLYNN: No objection.

THE COURT: Be received.

A blue binder, LRH Will and a key envelope to Dr. Hubbard's bedroom desk.

Are you offering that? This is October 28, 1959.

MR. LITT: May I take a look at that?

THE COURT: Apparently there are some other things in here. Maybe they are drafts of a Will. Let's see what else. LRH Birth Certificate, "Do not give out originals, photostats." Codicil to Mr. Hubbard's Will of January 18, '60.

MR. LITT: We'll offer it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. FLYNN: No objection.

THE COURT: It will be received.

58 is just a little writing nonpublished, the original manuscript of Excalibur.

Are you offering that?

MR. LITT: Yes, Your Honor.

Mr. Armstrong testified that went along with

MR. LITT: We will offer this, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. FLYNN: No objection.

THE COURT: All right, be received.

61 and 62 are just apparently letters from Travers to Moxon of the Church of Scientology about the VA claim and then the disallowance sheet.

MR. LITT: May I take a look at that?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. FLYNN: We have no objection to those.

MR. LITT: I don't think we will offer this.

THE COURT: You want to offer this?

MR. FLYNN: We will offer them.

THE COURT: All right, be received.

It think they are probably just duplications - - is that all the plaintiff's exhibits?

MR. LITT: There is one other category of exhibits that were left.

THE COURT: That is right. There were some when we went through this originally that Mr. Harris wanted to wait. Did you want to offer all of those?

MR. LITT: If you can give me one moment, I can take a quick look.

THE COURT: Oh, you were going to provide the clerk with the pages from the book.

MR. LITT: Yes, that is what we were just talking about and it got overlooked. I will have it delivered this afternoon and have a copy delivered - - well, I think they have

the book actually.

MR. FLYNN: If you can just bring a copy tomorrow to court, that is fine.

MR. LITT: Your Honor, I think what we have at this point is 62, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7 and 69.

THE COURT: Do you have any objection to those exhibits, Mr. Flynn?

MR. FLYNN: No, Your Honor. We'd offer them if they don't.

THE COURT: All right. They will be received.

MR. FLYNN: These exhibits --

THE CLERK: 62, 63, 64 to 68?

MR. LITT: 68 is in.

MR. FLYNN: Yes, 62 it starts and it goes up to 69.

MR. LITT: Except for 68, and for the record these are also, Your Honor, being offered only on the same basis as the others. I believe they come from the archives.

THE COURT: Very well. So understood.

14f

27

28

MR. LITT: And according to my records here, Your Honor, 100, I don't know whether the Court actually received it 2 into evidence or not or held off. 3 I would ask that it be moved in and I will have delivered this afternoon a copy to the Court. 5 THE COURT: It will be received. Those are the pages 6 from the book? 7 MR. LITT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: It will be received. Do you want to read those numbers again? 10 MR. LITT: It begins with 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, and 11 then 69. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MR. LITT: And then 100 has now also been admitted. 14 THE COURT: Does that take care of all of our business 15 for the day? 16 17 MR. LITT: I believe it does, Your Honor. 18 MR. FLYNN: One thing, Your Honor: We need copies of 19 several exhibits. And we would like the Court's permission 20 to make arrangements with the clerk to figure out how we can 21 now get these copies and take them upstairs to the sixth floor 22 and have copies made and then returned or have her do it and 23 then bill us or whatever arrangements can be made. 24 THE COURT: I'll authorize the clerk to do it as she 25 sees fit.

MR. LITT: Your Honor, we would ask at this time,

until the case is over, that any copies that are made, that

the order presently be for use only in this case. I know

that they have been received into evidence. Depending on 1 what happens in the case, we may have further motions on this 2 after the Court rules. And so we do feel that that is 4 appropriate, at least at this time. MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, these exhibits, many of them --5 some of which I brought with me from Boston, they are being 6 7 used in cases all over the country. 8 MR. LITT: I'm talking about the formerly sealed 9 exhibits, the ones that came from the archives. I'm not 10 talking about any other exhibits. THE COURT: Well, I don't really -- I can't think of 11 12 anything that is going to cause me to change my position on 13 these things. What is in evidence is evidence. They are 14 going to be here. They are going to have access to them. 15 MR. LITT: If Mr. Flynn is talking about having copies 16 made now of those --17 THE COURT: I assume he is only going to use them in 18 connection with the case. 19 MR. FLYNN: That is true, Your Honor. 20 One other thing --21 MR. LITT: Can we have an order to that effect? I am 22 not impuning anybody's integrity. 23 MR. FLYNN: We are not asking for copies of sealed 24 documents. 25 MR. LITT: That is fine; no problem. 26 MR. FLYNN: I take it in these arguments tomorrow there 27 will be arguments on the law also in addition to arguments

28

on the facts?

THE COURT: Whatever you want to argue. 1 MR. LITT: That gives sufficient flexibility. 2 THE COURT: As long as you can finish. 3 MR. LITT: Whatever you can say in 20 minutes? 4 MR. FLYNN: There will be no time limits, apparently. 5 THE COURT: Well, unless I get awful impatient. 6 I assume that counsel are thinking in terms of 7 winding this whole thing up tomorrow as far as the arguments 8 are concerned? 9 MR. LITT: I would hope so, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: So I think you and Mr. Harris ought to get 11 together to allow Mr. Flynn to have a decent amount of time. 12 I'm not going to limit him. 13 MR. LITT: We have discussed it. And our plan for the 14 combined opening between the two of us which will deal with 15 different areas will be an hour and a half to an hour and 16 forty-five minutes which I think is in line with what the 17 Court is thinking. 18 19 THE COURT: As long as counsel are going to be reasonable, I am not going to cut anybody off. We have been 20 here for six weeks. 21 I'll see you tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. 22 (At 10:25 a.m. an adjournment was taken 23 until Friday, June 8, 1984; at 9:00 a.m.) 24 25 26

27